

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/500,758	07/06/2004	Reinhold Haeb-Umbach	NL 020001 7	
7590 10/03/2006			EXAMINER	
	onics North America C	CHAU, COREY P		
Corporate Pater	nt Counsel			
PO Box 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/50	00,758	HAEB-UMBAC	CH ET AL.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		Corey	P. Chau	2615				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appears of	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AAILING DATE Of s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a nunication. atutory period will apply a v will, by statute, cause th	THIS COMMUII no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed CONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nis communication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>06 July 200</i>	4.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 💲								
c	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🔲 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🔲 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election	on requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted c	or b)⊡ objected t	to by the Examiner.				
P	applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is re	quired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37	7 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form	PTO-152.			
Priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.		•			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3	B.☐ Copies of the certified copies	of the priority doc	uments have be	en received in this Nation	nal Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).					
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the o	certified copies n	ot received.				
1								
Attachment(:	· ·		, □					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	,		of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/500,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 1, recites "Audio enhancement system (1)", should be replaced with "An audio enhancement system". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 2-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: on line 1, recites "Audio enhancement system (1)", should be replaced with "The audio enhancement system". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claims 3 and 9, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 6. Regarding claim 9, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 8. Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 20040125962 to Christoph.
- 9. Regarding Claim 1, Christoph discloses audio enhancement system (1), comprising

Art Unit: 2615

audio signal (z, y, r) inputs for a distorted desired signal (z, r) and at least a reference signal (y) (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 26, and 28; page 5, paragraphs 0068-0070), and

a spectral processor (PP) coupled to the audio signal (z, y, r) inputs for processing the distorted desired signal (z, r) by means of the at least one reference signal (y) acting as an estimate for the distortion of the desired signal (z, r) (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 26, and 28; page 4, paragraph 0066; page 5, paragraphs 0068-0070; page 9, paragraphs 0107-0108),

characterized in that the spectral processor (PP) is arranged for modifying said processing such that the estimate for the distortion is a function of A times the spectral power of the at least one reference signal (y), where A is a ratio between the time averaged spectral power of the distortion of the desired signal and the time averaged spectral power of the at least one reference signal (y) (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 26, and 28; page 4, paragraph 0066; page 5, paragraphs 0068-0070; page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109).

- 10. Regarding Claim 2, Christoph discloses the estimate for the distortion is at least partly proportional to A times the spectral power of the al least one reference signal (y) (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 26, and 28; page 4, paragraph 0066; page 5, paragraphs 0068-0070; page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109 and 0112).
- 11. Regarding Claim 3, Christoph discloses the estimate for the distortion at least partly depends on the signal to noise ratio of the distorted desired signal (z, r) (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 26, and 28; page 4, paragraph 0066; page 5, paragraphs 0068-0070; page 9, paragraphs 0107-0109 and 0112).

Art Unit: 2615

- 12. Regarding Claim 4, Christoph discloses the respective spectral powers are defined by some positive function of the spectral power concerned, such as the spectral magnitude, the squared spectral magnitude, the power spectral density or the Mel-scale smoothed spectral density (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 13-15, 17-18, 26, and 28).
- 13. Regarding Claim 5, Christoph discloses the ratio A is calculated based on data acquired during absence of the desired signal (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 13-15, 17-18, 26, and 28; page 8, paragraphs 0099 and 0105).
- 14. Regarding Claim 6, Christoph discloses the speech enhancement system (1) comprises a speech activity detector (DET), which is coupled to the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 13-15, 17-18, 26, and 28; page 8, paragraphs 0099 and 0105).
- 15. Regarding Claim 7, Christoph discloses the audio enhancement system (1) comprises adaptive microphone filter means (3) coupled to the spectral processor (PP) (abstract; Figs. 1-2, 8-9, 26; page 5, paragraph 0070).
- 16. Claim 9 is essentially similar to Claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above apropos to Claim 1.
- 17. Claim 10 is essentially similar to Claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above apropos to Claim 1.
- 18. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7039197 to Venkatesh et al. (hereafter as Venkatesh).

Art Unit: 2615

19. Regarding Claim 1, Venkatesh discloses audio enhancement system (1), comprising

audio signal (z, y, r) inputs for a distorted desired signal (z, r) and at least a reference signal (y) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 11, lines 48-65; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4), and

a spectral processor (PP) coupled to the audio signal (z, y, r) inputs for processing the distorted desired signal (z, r) by means of the at least one reference signal (y) acting as an estimate for the distortion of the desired signal (z, r) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 11, lines 48-65; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4),

characterized in that the spectral processor (PP) is arranged for modifying said processing such that the estimate for the distortion is a function of A times the spectral power of the at least one reference signal (y), where A is a ratio between the time averaged spectral power of the distortion of the desired signal and the time averaged spectral power of the at least one reference signal (y) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48-65; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).

20. Regarding Claim 2, Venkatesh discloses the estimate for the distortion is at least partly proportional to A times the spectral power of the al least one reference signal (y) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-

Page 7

67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).

- 21. Regarding Claim 3, Venkatesh discloses the estimate for the distortion at least partly depends on the signal to noise ratio of the distorted desired signal (z, r) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).
- 22. Regarding Claim 4, Venkatesh discloses the respective spectral powers are defined by some positive function of the spectral power concerned, such as the spectral magnitude, the squared spectral magnitude, the power spectral density or the Mel-scale smoothed spectral density (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).
- 23. Regarding Claim 5, Venkatesh discloses the ratio A is calculated based on data acquired during absence of the desired signal (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 13, line 56 to column 14, line 13; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).
- 24. Regarding Claim 6, Venkatesh discloses the speech enhancement system (1) comprises a speech activity detector (DET), which is coupled to the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 13.

Art Unit: 2615

line 56 to column 14, line 13; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).

- 25. Regarding Claim 7, Venkatesh discloses the audio enhancement system (1) comprises adaptive microphone filter means (3) coupled to the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 14, lines 28-48; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).
- 26. Regarding Claim 8, Venkatesh discloses the audio enhancement system (1) comprises one or more loudspeakers (6) and echo cancelling filter means (7) coupled between the at least one loudspeaker (6) and the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 3, 6, 11-12; column 3, lines 31-44; column 9, lines 45-67; column 10, lines 29-51; column 11, lines 48 to column 12, line 36; column 13, line 56 to column 14, line 13; column 14, lines 28-48; column 15, line 46 to column 16, line 9; column 17, lines 31-45; column 17, line 57 to column 18, line 4).
- 27. Claim 9 is essentially similar to Claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above apropos to Claim 1.
- 28. Claim 10 is essentially similar to Claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above apropos to Claim 1.
- 29. Claims 1-2 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 20020039425 to Burnett et al. (hereafter as Burnett).

Art Unit: 2615

30. Regarding Claim 1, Burnett discloses audio enhancement system (1), comprising

audio signal (z, y, r) inputs for a distorted desired signal (z, r) and at least a reference signal (y) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014), and

a spectral processor (PP) coupled to the audio signal (z, y, r) inputs for processing the distorted desired signal (z, r) by means of the at least one reference signal (y) acting as an estimate for the distortion of the desired signal (z, r) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0029-0037),

characterized in that the spectral processor (PP) is arranged for modifying said processing such that the estimate for the distortion is a function of A times the spectral power of the at least one reference signal (y), where A is a ratio between the time averaged spectral power of the distortion of the desired signal and the time averaged spectral power of the at least one reference signal (y) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0029-0037).

- 31. Regarding Claim 2, Burnett discloses the estimate for the distortion is at least partly proportional to A times the spectral power of the al least one reference signal (y) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0028-0037).
- 32. Regarding Claim 5, Burnett discloses the ratio A is calculated based on data acquired during absence of the desired signal (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0028-0037).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,758 Page 10

Art Unit: 2615

33. Regarding Claim 6, Burnett discloses the speech enhancement system (1) comprises a speech activity detector (DET), which is coupled to the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0028-0037).

- 34. Regarding Claim 7, Burnett discloses the audio enhancement system (1) comprises adaptive microphone filter means (3) coupled to the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0028-0037; page 4, paragraph 0054).
- 35. Regarding Claim 8, Burnett discloses the audio enhancement system (1) comprises one or more loudspeakers (6) and echo cancelling filter means (7) coupled between the at least one loudspeaker (6) and the spectral processor (PP) (Figs. 2-5; page 1; paragraph 0013-0014; pages 2-3, paragraph 0028-0039).
- 36. Claim 9 is essentially similar to Claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above apropos to Claim 1.
- 37. Claim 10 is essentially similar to Claim 1 and is rejected for the reasons stated above apropos to Claim 1.

Conclusion

38. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corey P. Chau whose telephone number is (571)272-7514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on (571)272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 28, 2006 CPC

WVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500